BULLETIN OF THE SCHOOL OF ORIENTAL AND AFRICAN STUDIES UNIVERSITY OF LONDON VOLUME XVII; PART 3, 1955 Published by THE SCHOOL OF ORIENTAL AND AFRICAN STUDIES

Ilya GERSHEVITCH

WORD AND SPIRIT IN OSSETIC1

The Ossetic pantheon includes two gods or spirits, *Uacilla* and *Uastyrdži* (Digor *Uaskergi*, *Uasgergi*, *Uašgergi*²), whose names contain Ossetic forms of the names Ilya and George respectively. The Ossetes no longer know the meaning of the prefix *Uac*- (of which *Uas*- is held to be a secondary development before *t* and *k*), which at first sight appears to fulfil the function of the appellative 'Saint'. 'St. Ilya and St. George', wrote V. Miller in *Осетинские Этюды* I, 119, 'were introduced into Ossetia from Georgia by Christian missionaries, but subsequently became popular gods'.

There is nothing very saintly about these spirits: *Uacilla* is connected with thunder and lightning; *Uaskergi's* function, according to Ossetic popular belief, is to protect honest people against bandits, but this is not so in the Nart Saga, where the god plays a somewhat disreputable part in the procreation of the heroine Satana. It is therefore understandable that subsequently Miller expressed the opinion (op. cit. II, 240, 257) that the two gods go back to the period of Ossetic heathendom, and were renamed after Christian saints under the impact of Christian propaganda.

The appellative *Uac* is also applied to St. Nicolas (*Uac Nikkola*), and to *Tutyr* (Digor *Uas Totur*), the patron spirit of wolves (*Tutyr* corresponding perhaps to Theodor, v. Hübschmann, *ZDMG* 41, 537, n. 1). My Digor friend in translating from Russian a tale of Æfsati, the patron of hunters, referred to this god as *Uac Æfsati*.

The current Ossetic word for 'saint' is syhdæg, in which, as in MPers. ywždhr and Sogd. zprt, the meaning 'holy' derives from 'pure'. The question

¹ The gist of this article was presented as a paper to the Twenty-third International Congress of Orientalists at Cambridge, in August 1954.

² Cf. the month-name *Biy-Aškergi* among the Mountain Tatars who live to the West of Digoria, between the River Urux and the Elbrus, MILLER, *Oc. Эт.* III, 9.

therefore arises whether *Uac*, rather than rendering the meaning 'saint', may not be a pre-Christian appellative of the spirits whose functions the Christian Illa and Kergi have inherited.

Beside the appellative *Uac* the Ossetic language has a substantive *uac* meaning 'news'. Miller and Freiman's Ossetic Dictionary contains the tentative suggestion that the two *uac* are connected. V.I. Abaev has wholeheartedly adopted this view in his book *Осетинский язык и фольклор* vol. I. I quote the relevant passages: –

(Pp. 185 f.:) Av. vāk-, vačah-, survive in Oss. uac 'word; news'. In Ossetic the word had a religious connotation, as λόγος in Hellenistic cults; it serves as epithet of Christian saints in Uacilla and Uaskergi; cf. also Aciroxs (<Uaciroxs), name of a Nart heroine, lit. 'light of Uac', and Aciamongæ (< Uaciamongæ), name of the magic cup of the Nart, lit. 'Uac-indicator'3. (Pp. 206 f.:) Intervocalic voicing of c is found in the oblique case uadzæn (uadzæn aftæ akæninc 'as the proverb goes'), in uadzimis 'poet', and in Uadzæftauæ, name of a woman in the Nart tales. (...Since the most important Christian saints bear the epithet uac) this word must have been one of the main religious terms in pre-Christian Ossetia. Such a term had every chance of entering the formation of proper names... The examples show that uac was not merely the 'word', but some higher 'divine' force, comparable to farnah- and arta-, whose role in Iranian names is well-known. In the Nart tale The Song of Acæmæz it is said that uacæ roxs 'the light of Uac' radiates from good Nikkola and Uaskergi. Clearly it is not enough to attribute to Uac the meaning 'word'. ... Uac was no ordinary logos, but 'the Logos', with capital L.

Professor Abaev's remarks appear to me substantially correct, with two reservations. One is phonological: the Avestan accusative $v\bar{a}\check{c}im$ can account for uadz-, but not for uac(-), cf. Miller, Ossetisch, 28. The occasional replacement of initial c- by dz- provides no parallel, since the forms with dz- are likely to have arisen in compound sandhi. On the other hand the assumption that in uac dz may have been unvoiced because it stood in final position, while still not accounting for uacæ, uac uac

³ This cup rises of its own account to the lips of the Nart hero who relates his exploits truthfully, but remains on the table if lies are told, cf. Narty Kaddžytæ 229 = V. Dynnik, Hapmcκue cκαзαния 298, v. also Dumézil, Légendes sur les Nartes, 136 f. Also Miller, Oc. Эт. I, 161, n. 20, connected the first element of Uacilla with that of Uasiamongæ [as he spells this name, which only occurs in Digor; the pronunciation is waʃia°, secondary development of watʃia°].

are rarely, if at all, unvoiced' (ABAEV, apud A.M. KASAEV, Ocemuhcko-pycckuŭ словарь, p. 447). I can find only nymæc: nymædz, against consistent ssædz, afædz, zæppadz, xŭlydz. The second difficulty lies in the vagueness of the definition of 'Logos' as applicable to Oss. uac: what is the meaning of 'light of Uac' or 'Uac-indicator'? how did an abstract religious conception, such as Logos, come to be used as an appellative of individual gods in a way neither farnah- nor rta- ever did?

The solution to these difficulties becomes apparent as soon as the Middle Persian and Parthian descendants of OIr. $w\bar{a}k$ -, $w\bar{a}c$ - are taken into consideration. MPers. $w'x\bar{s}$, from the OIr. Nom. Sg. $w\bar{a}x\bar{s}$, is clearly attested in the meaning of 'a spirit', cf. H. W. Bailey, Zoroastrian Problems 66, 118, 228. A similar meaning (v. below, p. 488 (B) (b)) can now be attributed to Parth. w'c, from the OIr. Acc. Sg. $w\bar{a}c\bar{s}am$, since W. B. Henning has established that Man. Parth. w'c'fryd corresponds to MPers. mynwg 'spiritual' (v. Mary Boyce, The Manichaean Hymn-Cycles in Parthian, 103, n. 1). If then the Oss. appellative uc belongs to OIr. uc, we must take the clue to its form and meaning from MPers. $u'x\bar{s}$.

The assumption that Oss. c sporadically represents OIr. $x\ddot{s}$ is favoured by Digor docun 'to milk'. Hübschmann, Pers. Studien 64, derived NPers. $d\bar{o}\ddot{s}$ -from * $daux\ddot{s}a$ -; Morgenstierne proposed * $daux\ddot{s}ya$ - as starting form of Pš. $lwa\ddot{s}\partial l$, Pers. $d\bar{o}\ddot{s}\bar{i}dan$, Sangl. $d\bar{e}\ddot{s}$ -, and Orm. $d\ddot{u}\ddot{s}$ -, cf. IIFL I, 393, II, 225; it is unlikely that Oss. docun goes back to any other present stem.

H. W. Bailey has brought together docun, ficun 'to cook', and xuæcun 'to seize, wrestle, fight', suggesting that all three contain c < xs (TPS 1936, 101). This suggestion may have to be amplified in the sense that it is early Ossetic xs, whether from OIr. xs or xš, which under special conditions became c. If ficun is derived from OIr. *paxsa- the intransitive meaning of this verb is accounted for, cf. Yayn. puxs- 'pazīdan', Junker, Yaghnōbī-Studien 12, and Yāzg. past, Tedesco, BSL 25, 63. But ficun is also transitive: fid ficuy means

⁴ Beside *fyd* 'meat' and *fyd* 'father', Miller-Freiman's Dictionary has the entry *fyd* 'millstone'. This interesting word may be from **piti*- 'crushing, crushing instrument', and compare with Pš. and Orm. (Waz.) *pal* 'millstone' (cf. Morgenstierne, *NTS* v, 25, who assumes Indian origin) < **paiti*- (hochstufe as in Ved. *heti*, cf. Wackernagel-Debrunner, *Ai. Gr.* II², p. 631 c notes; cf. Pš. *wala* < *vaēti*-, and, for the ending, *NTS* XII, 93). This *pi*- may be the unextended base of Av. *pišant*-, Skt. *pináṣṭi*, etc., which can also be recognized in *Vend*. 13, 40: *ĵąθwa vəhrka sčąθwa vəhrka pōiθwa vəhrka snaēžana* 'the slavering wolves are to be killed, smashed, crushed'; this explanation of *pōiθwa*- is to be preferred to Bartholomae's (*Air. Wb.* 1893 on 899) or Geldner's (*Studien* 53).

On the other hand, under the entry fark two homonyms have been thrown together in

both 'the meat is cooking' and 'he is cooking the meat', cf. Munkácsi, *Blüten* I, 22, n. 1. In its transitive meaning *ficun* may represent OIr. *paxš(a)-, cf. Sogd. pwyš', GMS § 551.

The case of $xu\alpha cun$ is more complicated, as c has to be reconciled with the s of the past stem xuæst. 5 If c here represented OIr. -ti- there would be no difficulty. However, Miller's connexion (Ossetisch 26) of xuæcun with Skt. svaj-, svañj- 'to embrace, clasp', cf. Av. pairiš.x'axta-, is not lightly to be abandoned. For a verbal noun derived from OIr. *hwani7-, which with various preverbs displays meanings that suit the usage of Oss. xuæcun, can be recognized in Sogd. 'nxwnc, 'xwnc 'struggle', and 'wxwnc. These words were discussed by Heiming in BBB, p. 63; 'wxwnc had been connected by Lentz with the 3 Sg. Pres. 'wxt, with which it occurs in a difficult sentence: c'nw dw' xwdbtyg 'wxwnc gwnntg'n yy xw xwny myn'bry wnm' 'wxtg'm cn nyz'wry ms mydc'nw sfsqnt gy 'wxt cn sfnyg, ST II, 5,15 ff. In his treatment of this passage. J As. 1951, 116 ff., Benveniste has made it likely that 'wxt means 'becomes separated'6; a connexion with 'wxwnc is thus excluded. On the other hand, Benveniste translates the first five words by 'quand les deux royaumes prendront part à une guerre', leaving xwdbtyq unexplained, while Lentz's translation 'wenn die beiden einander erne Schlacht liefern werden' disagrees with the situation as elucidated by Benveniste. Comparison with Daniel II, 43, shows that there is no question of a 'battle', but on the contrary of the two kingdoms 'cleaving' (Aram. dbq) to one another. We must therefore translate: 'as⁷ the two will clasp each other, that plenipotentiary⁸ will retreat from the weak one, as clay retreats from iron'. Thus Sogd. 'wxwnc (< *awa-hwanj a-) 'clasping' preserves the original meaning of the base, which in Oss. xuæcun coexists with the secondary meaning of Sogd. 'nxwnc, 'xwno 'struggle'. For the Ossetic verb we may postulate an OIr. present stem *hwaxš(a)- or

the Dictionary. Fark meaning 'piece, splinter, small plank' (cf. also færki-færgkai, Памятники II, 8^{10} , 'in smithereens') is connected with NPers. $p\bar{a}ra$, while fark 'blade of a mill-wheel, paddle' belongs to NPers. $p\bar{a}r\bar{u}$.

⁵ Thus also in the etymologically obscure Digor verb *xincun* 'to treat hospitably, entertain', whose past stem is *xinst*-, while its Iron equivalent *xyncyn*, which in addition means 'to count', has as past stem *xyxt*-, *xyhd*-.

⁶ Considerable doubt, however, attaches to Benveniste's identification of Chr. 'wxt with B. 'wyrt. Loss of final r of a present stem before the ending -t of the 3 Sg. occurs in Chr. -d't after a long vowel, but is not attested after short vowels. Also the difference in the construction, which Benveniste has noted, goes against the identification.

⁷ cf. JRAS 1946, 181, on 115.

⁸ v. Henning, BSOAS XII, 309 n.

*hwaxsa- (note that xuæcun is intransitive). The past stem xuæst- will then go back to early Oss. *xuæxst-, with dissimilatory loss of the second x. The importation of s or š from the present to the past stem is attested in the Oss. inchoatives xussun: xust- 'to sleep', cæfsun: cæfst- 'to burn' (cf. Bailey, BSOS VI, 67), dunsun: dunst- 'to swell', tæfsun 'to warm up': tafst 'warmth', in Khot. huṣṭ- 'to sleep', kaṣṭ- 'to fall', dīṣṭ- 'to ripen' (v. Bailey, Asica 30, Asia Major (N.S.) II, 31), in Sogd. $\delta\beta$ xšt- 'to collect, gain' (GMS § 551), and in Av. axšnušta- 'unreconciled', fradaxšta- 'taught; branded'.

The conditions in which early Oss. xs from xs, $x\check{s}$, may have become c are not clear. Normally this consonant group has remained unchanged. Thus xs < OIr. $x\check{s}$ is found in axsinun 'to gnaw' (connected by Miller with Skt. ksi- $n\bar{a}$ -ti), cf. Sogd. ' $\gamma\check{s}y$ - 'to gnaw' SCE 83, in ixsun 'to be worn out' < * $x\check{s}u$ -g, cf. Skt. $ksur\check{a}$, Gr. $\xi\acute{v}\omega$, in axsin 'lady, housewife', 10 perhaps in Iron axsin (beside the unexplained Digor axsin 'shoulder' (which Miller, axsin Oc. axsin III, 169, and again Abaev, op. cit. I, 18, equated with Skt. axsin 'chest'), etc., cf. also Miller, axsin Ossetisch 26 (§ 24, 2). For Oss. axsin OIr. axsin 'to endure, have patience', 11 and axin axin 'slops, swill', plural of *axin with suffix -axin, < *axin 'to be poured out', to Av. axin of *axin with suffix -axin, <

⁹ Not from *xšī-, as shown by Digor fexsuyun, fexsud, which preclude Miller's (IF 21, 328) and Morgenstierne's (IIFL II, 209, s.v. fšīi) connexion of ixsun with Skt. tṣīyáte.

In common with other Eastern Iranian languages Ossetic has lost OIr. θ before n. Miller did not mention this development in his grammar, but neither Hübschmann (Arm. Gr. 20) nor Bartholomae (Mitteliranische Mundarten III, 29) hesitated to derive æxsīn, of which æfsīn is apparently a side-form, from OIr. *xšaiθnī-. In addition, Ossetic provides representatives of the usual two test words, OIr. *araθnī- 'cubit', and hapaθnī- 'co-wife'. The former has been recognized by H. W. Bailey (personal communication) in Digor cæng-ærīnæ, Iron ælm-ærin, ærm-ærin 'cubit'. The latter survives, in my opinion, in bīnontæ 'family, members of a household, relatives, wife', which lends itself to the analysis bīn (< hapaθnī-) + suffix -ān (a)- (cf. binoinag, usually 'member of a household', but in Iron Adæmon Sfældystad, Ordžonikidze, 1941, 8²7, 'wife') + plural suffix -tæ. The original meaning will have been 'co-wives and their children', cf. E. H. Minns, Scythians and Greeks, 84, on the position of women among the Scyths. In Sogd. pn'nc 'co-wife' (v. W. B. Henning, Sogdica 17 f.) the same suffix -ān(a)- has been adapted to the Sogdian personal feminine suffix -ānč; this view is preferable to the one taken in GMS §1046. The Ossetic palatalization of a in -a(θ)nī- compares with the treatment of -anya- in (suh)zærīnæ 'gold' from zaranya- (Miller, Oc. Эт. III, 138).

¹¹ From my Digor friend I have *ristæn næ buxsun* (or *buxsagæ dæn*) 'I cannot endure pain', *næ ibæl buxsun* 'I miss him, long for him' (lit. 'am impatient for him'). Oss. *buxs*- probably continues an OIr. inchoative *buxsa-, the past participle of which survives in Sogd. $\beta\omega\gamma t'rmyk$ 'patient', cf. *GMS* §§ 469, 1105.

Apart from docun, ficun, xuæcun, one may suspect that c represents xš in the name of the Sturdigor feast facbadæn (variant fazbadæn) which Miller, Oc. 3m. II, 282 ff., described in detail. Gatuyev thought the name meant 'sitting in the glade', but this guess has no relation to the practice of the feast, during which the inhabitants of Sturdigor allow no one to enter or leave their village. Facbadæn may therefore mean 'sitting aside', if fac is a dialect form of faxs 'side' ~ Skt. pakṣa (v. Miller, Oc. 3m. III, 142), cf. ævvaxs 'near'; the variant faz- before b reminds one of uas- before t and k. Furthermore, a comparison of the two synonyms lacamarz and læxamæca 'sloven(liness)', in which the verbal elements belong respectively to mærzyn 'to sweep' and mæcyn 'to wallow', invites the conjecture that lac is related to læx 'dung' through an old form *laxša-.

If the assumption of an Ossetic dialect variant c of xs is granted, then Abayev's derivation of Uac from OIr. wāk- only needs to be revised in the light of the meaning of MPers. w'xš, to acquire a high degree of probability. The meaning 'spirit' not only meets the fact that Uacilla and Uaskergi are spirits, but also helps to explain the curious use of both these names in the plural. The uacillatæ and uastyrdžitæ are nondescript spirits, said to be God's 'angels' (zædtæ, cf. the text quoted by Miller, Oc. 3m. I, 24 ff. 12); they are a favourite target of the Nart heroes Batradz and Xæmyc, who without any apparent provocation kill a number of them at each encounter. These spirits, who always make their appearance collectively, seem to have nothing but the name in common with the individual spirits Uacilla and Uastyrdži. To us, who have reasons to think that Uac means 'spirit', Ossetic story-tellers may not seem to be very accurate when they use the plural of zæd to describe the uacillatæ and uastyrdžitæ: they should by rights have employed the plural of uac¹³. This plural, we may surmise, ceased to be used once the function of uac was reduced to that of a prefix of proper names. It would then be understandable if the ancestors of the Ossetes, when they wished to refer to the uac class of spirits, as distinct from the zæd or the dauæg class, resorted to the

¹² There three *uacilla*-angels are referred to as ærtæ Tbauacillajy, viz. *uacillas* from the mountain Tbau; cf. the Song of Acæmæz, line 166 (in Abaev's edition. Из осетинского эпоса, pp. 54 ff.), where White Yelia (= the individual spirit Uacilla) is said to reside on mount Tubau.

¹³ An early form of the plural of *uac* 'spirit' (*uac* 'news' has the plur. *uactæ*) survives perhaps in the asseveration *uastæn* (and *uasdæn*? v. Dict.), which, as it seems to be used like Engl. 'by Jove', may be a Dat. plur. meaning 'by the Spirits'. The Dictionary suggests that *uastæn* contains the postposition *stæn*; this is unlikely, for the noun which precedes *stæn* is always in the Genitive, as the examples collected by G. Morrison, *Ricerche Linguistiche* II, 79 n., clearly show. Cf. *uasæn* and *uadzæn* below, p. 488, n. 2.

plural of Uacilla and Uastirdži, the most popular representatives of this class.

Apparently the *uacillatæ* are closer to the *zædtæ* than to the *daudžytæ*. For not only are they described by the Ossetes as *zædtæ*, but they even replace the *zædtæ* in the Nart tale printed by Miller, *Oc. 9m.* I, 149, where Batradz says: 'I killed five *daudžytæ* and six *uacillatæ*'; elsewhere Batradz's conflict is said to be with the *zædtæ* and the *daudžytæ*.

Generally speaking, the Ossetes are only dimly conscious of a difference between zædtæ and daudžytæ. Both are simply protective genii in Ossetic folklore. However, according to D. G. Bekoev, who translated and annotated the Ossetic texts published in Παμαμμικυ III, the zæd is an 'angel', the dauæg¹⁶ a person who becomes a member of the heavenly host surrounding God, 'what in Church language is called an intercessor' (pp. 136, n. 22; 138, n. 72). This definition is in agreement with the less ecclesiastical use of daudžytæ in a Nart tale, where Kurdalægon, the smith whom Ossetic story-tellers sometimes describe as living in Heaven, sometimes in the realm of the dead, is considered to be a dauæg. In the absence of any other definition, it is as well to take seriously this one, which makes of the dauæg a 'blessed dead in Heaven'.

¹⁴ v. Dynnik, Нартские Сказания 348 ff. = Narty Kaddžytæ 261 ff.

¹⁵ Dauæg is usually translated as 'seraph, protective genius'. In Iron Adæmon Sfældystad 275, n. 75, Digor idaugutæ is explained by 'izædtæ'. Miller remarked, Oc. Эт. II, 240, that to his knowledge neither the individual Uastyrdži, nor any of the other gods were ever called zæd or dauæg; however, in the Song of Acæmæz Uašgergi is referred to as an izæd (line 128), and a group of gods consisting of Uašgergi, White Yelia, Good Nikkola, Æfsati, Fælværa, and others, is more than once collectively described as izædtæ and idaugutæ. – Beside the collectively appearing daudžytæ there exists an individual genius by the name of Dauæg, who was believed to avert the plague. To him a sanctuary was dedicated near Sturdigor (Miller, Oc. Эт. II, 261, 283 f.); cf. Barduag in the next note.

¹⁶ Beside dauæg (Dig. idauæg) we find duag in an Iron text, Памятники III, 7¹², cf. ryny Barduag 'god of (= averting?) illness' (Dictionary). The development is as in Dig. bauær: Iron buar 'body', etc., cf. Miller, Ossetisch 17.

¹⁷ Fervysta Satana Kŭrdalægonmæ. Nart æmxærd, æmnuæzt ŭdysty daudžytimæ, — ærcydis Kŭrdalægon Satanajy xŭndmæ 'Satana sent for Kurdalægon. The Nart were on convivial terms with the dauægs, and K. came at Satana's invitation', Narty Kaddžytæ 64. The name of this smith (Oss. kŭrd) contains læg 'man' according to Miller, Oc. Эт. I, 118; on the other hand Abayev, Oc. яз. и фольк. I, 71, starts from the Digor variant Kurd-Ala-Ŭærgon (Dictionary, 703 f.), which he analyses as 'the Alanic smith Wærgon', Wærgon corresponding in his opinion to Vulcanus. Kurdalægon is described by Miller, loc. cit., as living in Heaven or in the realm of the dead, where he forges horseshoes, etc., for the horses of deceased persona.

Since it has long been recognized that *zæd*, Dig. *izæd*, derives from Old Iranian *yazata*-, one naturally looks to the range of Old Iranian ideas to which *yazata*- belongs for an explanation of *dauæg*. The notion of a 'blessed dead in Heaven' is most nearly rendered in Xerxes' definition of Old Persian *artāvan*-: 'The man who behaves according to the law which Ahuramazdāh established, and worships Ahuramazdāh in proper style in accord with *Arta*, becomes happy while living, and *artāvan*- when dead', *Daiva Inscr.* 51 ff., cf. also 48. H. W. Bailey has pointed out the use of *ahrav* with the same meaning in Pahlavi texts (*Zoroastrian Problems* 87, n. 4). It should be noted that the key to this doctrine is to be found in an Avestan passage, *Yasna* 16, 7: 'We worship the radiant quarters of *Ašα* in which dwell the souls of the dead, the Fravašis of the *ašavans*; the best existence (= Paradise) of the *ašavans* we worship, (which is) light and affording all comforts'.

I therefore suspect that Iron dauæg, Digor idauæg¹⁹ represent popular mutilations of Middle Ossetic *ærdauæg,²⁰ misinterpreted as containing the preverb ær- on the analogy of cases like ærgom : gom, ærhudi : hudi, and many more. A parallel can perhaps be found in the participial adjectives Iron uændag, Digor iŭændæg 'brave, daring, agile, fast' (cf. Dictionary and Abaev, Oc. яз. и фольк. I, 483), and the denominative (as shown by the past stem) йændyn : йændyd 'to brave, dare', which Miller, Ossetisch 63,²¹ unconvincingly derived from *uantar- 'victor'. From the semantic point of

¹⁸ The problem of OPers. *artāvan*- was last discussed by J. Duchesne-Guillemin, Z. orwtre, 130 f., who did not consider the Avestan evidence.

¹⁹ I used to consider, but have abandoned as semantically unsatisfactory, a connexion of *idauæg* with Sogd. *wyt'w*- 'to endure, persevere'. This verbal stem was somewhat misleadingly quoted in *GMS* § 216. The passage there referred to, *M* 133, 83, is the one from which. Henning, *Sogdica*, p. 3, had quoted *wyt'wp'zny'h*. It is only in this compound that *wyt'w*-occurs in Man. Sogd.; in Buddh. Sogd. we have *wyt'wn'k*-'persevering' in *P2*, 1130, with which Benveniste rightly compared *pt'w*- 'to endure'; cf. Chr. *pt'wp'zny*' 'Duldersinn' in *ST* II, which approximates the meaning of Man. *wyt'wp'zny'h*. – I take this opportunity to point out that Benveniste was the first to notice, in *J As*. 1939, 275 f., that B. *zn'kh* in *VJ* means 'body' (cf. *TPS* 1945, 138, n.4).

²⁰ OIr. *na*- gives Oss. *ard* 'oath' (cf. Bailey *apud* E. B. Ceadel, *Literatures of the East* 101), which becomes αrd - when unstressed, cf. αrdx 'confederat'. For the $-(a)k(\alpha)$ - suffix added to an $-\bar{a}uan$ - stem Sogd. αrdx 'thirsty' can perhaps be compared.

²¹ The form $\alpha \tilde{u} \tilde{u} \alpha n dyn$ there quoted by Miller is not to be found in the Dictionary and seems to be due to confusion with $\alpha \tilde{u} \tilde{u} \alpha n dyn$ 'to trust'.

view Av. aurvant- 'brave, fast' (Middle Oss. *ærŭænd-) would provide the ideal etymon. Admittedly the initial i- of the Digor form, which Miller's etymology left unexplained, still remains obscure (cf. Ossetisch 17 f.).²²

In the case of (i)dauæg a special consideration can be adduced to account for the assumed development. In common with other preverbs, ær- when prefixed to nouns or adjectives that are followed by the copula in the present, turns them into virtual past participles fiendi. The function of the copula is thereby reduced to that of a personal ending, the whole complex acting as an intransitive preterit; cf. e.g. Digor hæla æy 'he is an idiot', æs-hæla (fæ-hæla, ni-hæla) æy 'he became an idiot'; hæzdug dæn 'I am rich', is-hæzdug dæn 'I became rich'; darh-æy 'it is long', ær-darh-æy 'it became long.²³ Now, while a man who is-hæzdug-æy 'became rich' may subsequently fall into poverty, one who at death 'became blessed' necessarily remains so for all times. There

Trace of a replacement of the pseudo preverb ær- by the preverb (w)i- in the denominative verb *ærŭænd? (Nowadays, according to the Dictionary, ŭændyn takes the preverbs a-, ba-, s-, and fæ-). Such an explanation cannot, of course, account for the i- of idauæg, since no denominative of this word is attested. At a stretch one may invoke a possible analogical (alliterative) influence of i-zædtæ, with whom the i-daugutæ are constantly associated in the formula izædtæ æma idaugutæ, which for practical purposes is a dvandva of the mad-æmæ-fyd type (cf. Miller, Ossetisch 96).

²³ The preverb originally may have belonged to the verb kænun 'facere', with which the corresponding transitive expressions are formed: ni-hæla-kodta 'he turned (somebody) into an idiot', is-hæzdug-kodta 'he made (someone) rich, he enriched', ær-darh-kodta 'he made (something) long, he lengthened'. The pseudo intransitive past participles abstracted from such periphrases were treated like real ones: the 1st Sing. Pret. is-hæzdug-dæn 'I became rich' was formed on inhæzdug 'become rich', as ærcud-tæn (< *ærcud-dæn) 'I came', lit. 'vontus sum' on the past participle ærcud- (OIr. *°čyuta-), or MPers. 'md hym, Sogd. "ytym on the past participles 'md, "yt- (OIr. \$\tilde{ag}(m)ata-)\$ respectively. In the 3rd Sing. of the Preterit the copula is usually implied (Sogd. "yt, MPers., NPers. āmad, etc.), but in Ossetic beside Iron ærcyd 'he came', we more commonly find ærcyd-i(s), in Digor always ærcud-æy 'ventus est'; correspondingly in Iron both s-qæzdyg and s-qæzdyg-i(s) are possible, in Digor only is-hazdug-ay. These interesting Ossetic periphrastic denominatives, as one may call them, have not yet received the systematic treatment they deserve, which would take into account their complete inflection, and the shades of meaning or aspect conferred by various preverbs; cf. provisionally v. Stackelberg, Beiträge zur Syntax des Ossetischen 71 f., Miller, Ossetisch § 87, 2, Abaev apud Kasaev, Осетинско-русский словарь 492.

follows that an Ossete saying some centuries ago of his ancestors that they *ærdauæg æncæ 'are blessed', would do full justice to his meaning even if he wrongly analysed the etymologically obscure word as *ær-dauæg, and understood the statement as meaning 'they became (and therefore are) dauæg'. By mistaking 'blessed' (*ærdauæg) for 'become blessed' (*ær-dauæg) he would create a new word for 'blessed' (dauæg).

To illustrate the possible background against which we might set the world of spirits of Ossetic folklore, which as interpreted by us is somewhat reminiscent of late Zoroastrianism, we may pause for a moment to consider the mythical dreamland Kurvs of the Ossetes. As described by B. Gatiev in Сборник сведений о кавказских горцах, vol. IX (1876), part 3; pp. 26 f., Kurys is a meadow belonging to the dead, which contains wonderful seeds of various cereals, as well as of good luck and misfortune. In every village there are a number of people who are able to visit Kūrys in their sleep. Their souls leave the sleeping bodies, mount on other people's horses, dogs, or children, and drive them to Kurys. There the souls alight, pick a handful of the wonderful seeds, and return home, sometimes pursued by the dead who aim arrows at them. Inexperienced souls instead of collecting seeds bring back colds, coughs, and fever. Miller was told by his informant Tukkaev that among the Digors the region which the souls visited was called Burku; neighbours of 'Burku-travellers' found it advisable to tie little chains to themselves and their horses, to prevent the noctambulant souls from using them as mounts on their journeys (Oc. 3m. II, 270). According to another tradition picked up by Shanaev and quoted by Miller, p. 272, the destination of the souls was the mountain Tatar-Tup. As to Kurys (on which cf. also the Dictionary p.v.) Miller verified with one of his informants that the pronunciation was with y, and the word was distinct from the noun Kūrīs, Digor kures 'sheaf'.

Kũrys recalls the name of the mountain or mountain-range kaoirisa in Yašt 19, 6. In the chapter on mountains of the Bundahisn kaoiris (spelt kwy(y)l's, Gt, Bd., 79¹¹, Ind. Bd., 12, 25) is the only mountain to be located in Ērān Vēž. Here, then, we may hope to find in Ossetic folklore a direct reference to Aryana Vaēĵah. According to Bartholomae the Avestan form of the name represents *karuisa-. If this were the case, there would be no exact parallel to show what result should be expected in Ossetic. ²⁴ But kaoirisa- is

Discounting mældzyg, Dig. muldzug, 'ant' < *marui-ča-ka- (cf. Sogd. zm'wrc, GMS § 247, v. also Bailey, BSOAS XIII, 664), where apparently u was transferred to the suffix by metathesis. The first u of the Digor form will then be secondary, cf. Miller, Ossetisch § 7, 3, note.

just as likely to be a *vṛddhi* derivative of the name of, say, a region *kuirisa-, which may be the one remembered by the Ossetes.

That the ancestors of the Ossetes, the Alani, should have brought to the Caucasus the memory of a region of Aryana Vaējah, the homeland of the speakers of Avestan (cf. Henning, *Zoroaster*, 42 f.), is a supposition which squares well with current views on the subject. The Alani = Āryānas²⁵ were brought from the area of Lake Aral to the Caucasus by a migratory movement which can be dated between A.D. 25 and 35 (cf. Täubler, *Zur Geschichte der Alanen, Klio* 9 (1909). 23 ff.). In their seats around Lake Aral (cf. Junge, *Saka-Studien, Klio*, Beiheft XLI, N.F., Heft 28 (1939), p. 77), the Alani were in contact with Chorasmia, i.e. with at least the northern fringe of the country which once was Aryana Vaējah. It would even seem possible that the tribal name Alani = Āryāna reflects a connexion of the tribe with inhabitants, or former inhabitants, of Aryana Vaējah²⁶. Against such a background it would

2 Заказ № 2040 17

²⁵ Abaev, in an article published in 1927 and reprinted in Oc. яз. u фольк. I, 246, ingeniously finds the tribal name $\bar{a}ry\bar{a}na$ - in the allon smell by which the man-eater of an Ossetic fable recognizes the presence in his house of the hidden 'Alanic' hero. On the other hand, Abaev dismisses the long-cherished view that the Ossetic name of the Ossetes, Ir, Iron, Digor $Ir\alpha$, represents OIr. arya-. It is true, as he says, that -ry- should have resulted in -l(l)-, to judge by $n\alpha l < narya$ -, $d\alpha llag < *adary\bar{a}ka$ -, etc. But the initial i- in both Iron and Digor is best explained as a palatalized a-, cf. $inn\alpha$ 'other' (< anya-) in both dialects. It is possible that the ancient ethnicon arya- survived beside the phonologically correctly developed ala-, and became ira- at a time when r was no longer affected by a following y. Note that among the Sarmato-Alanic names we find not only ' $\lambda\lambda$ έξαρθος < *arya-xšaθra-, but also *Hρακας (chief interpreter of the Alans, beginning of 3rd cent. A.D.) < *aryaka-, beside the archaic λ ριαράμνης, etc.

²⁶ Such was the opinion of Andreas as summarized by E. Meyer, *Geschichte des Altertums*, 4th ed., I, 2, p. 898, § 572 n.: 'Aryanəm vaējo identifiziert Andreas wohl richtig mit Chvaresm, dem Heimatland der Alanen oder Osseten, an dem der Ariername speziell haftete'. One cannot, of course, identify the Alani with the Aryana-Vaējahians if one accepts the view, as we do, that the latter were the speakers of Avestan. But the gist of Andreas' theory can be maintained by assuming, for instance, that the tribes (of the Aorsoi confederacy? cf. Ptolemy's Alanorsoi, and v. Junge, op. cit., 78 f.) that were due to become known as Alani, had fallen under the sway of an Aryana-Vaējahian class of rulers, whose ethnical name they adopted at the beginning of our era. If the Alani are actually Aorsoi renamed, then Ās, the medieval name of the Ossetes, which goes back to older *Ars- (v. Bailey, BSOAS XIII, 135 f.), is most likely a later form of Aors(oi) (as seen by O. Maenchen. Helfen, JAOS 1945, 78 f., who, however, proceeded with too broad a sweep), despite the obvious phonological difficulties. We may

not be surprising if the Alani had absorbed a few Zoroastrian notions, of which certain popular superstitions of the Ossetes preserve a faint echo.

To revert to our spirits, if the explanation proposed for the daudžytæ can be trusted, it is likely that the Uacillatæ, replacing an earlier plural of uac uāxš 'spirit, genius', occupied in the divine hierarchy of the early Ossetes an intermediate position between gods (yazatas) on the one side, and men raised to heavenly bliss (ašavans) on the other. The tendency we noticed earlier (p. 482 f.), to identify the Uacillatæ with gods rather than with blessed men (as we take the daudžytæ to be), is counterbalanced by the Ossetic replacement of the Christian epithet 'Saint' by uac in Uacilla and Uaskergi; such a replacement implies a somewhat closer affinity of the uac with the dauæg as interpreted by us, than with the zæd. It is only natural that Ossetic opinion should have hesitated over the precise definition of the nature of a spirit, or genius.

If our conclusion on the meaning and origin of uac is correct, the relation of Word and Spirit in Iranian thought will be seen in a new perspective. It has been assumed that MPers. w'xš came to mean 'spirit' under the influence of the Gnostic or Christian Logos (cf. Bailey, Zoroastrian Problems, 119). We have seen that a similar assumption has been made for Oss. uac. But firstly the Gnostic or Christian Logos does not seem to have meant 'spirit' in the sense of a genius that goes about and talks or acts. Secondly there is no evidence that the ancestors of the Ossetes indulged in Gnostic speculation. Lastly, the Ossetic use of uac cannot very well be attributed to the Christian use of Logos, since no Christian would ever use the word logos to denote a saint. If then the meaning of Oss. uac is independent of the Gnostic or Christian Logos, one may well doubt that even the Middle Persian and Parthian use of w'xš and w'c- respectively, was due to Gnostic or Christian influence. Should we not regard as genuinely Iranian, rather than due to foreign Logos speculation, a development whose result becomes apparent to us both in Western Middle Iranian and in Ossetic? It would seem reasonable to conclude that the semantic transition from Word, or Voice, to Spirit, was a development inherent in the meaning of the Old Iranian word wāxš. wāčam.

To end, we may attempt to sort out as follows the Ossetic words and names which may be connected with OIr. $u\bar{a}k$ -, noting that the formal

remember that a replacement of -aor- by -ar-, which is puzzling despite Marquart, Eranšahr, 155, and Schaeder, Iranica 50, also took place in the name of the almost certainly identical Saoromatai: Sarmatai, the predecessors of the Aorsoi-Alani, and remote ancestors of the Ossetes.

difference between the ancient Nom. and Ace. was apparently made to serve the semantic distinction between the two main connotations of the word 'spirit':

(A) Old Iranian wāxš, Nom. Sing.

- (a) 'Word': *uac* 'news' (the meaning 'word' given by Abaev (v. above, p. 478) is not found in the Dictionary), plur. *uactæ*; *uacqud* 'news' (lit. 'newsthought'); with s generalized from the position before voiceless stops (cf. (b)), *uas* 'sermon'.
- (b) 'Spirit: supernatural personality': Uac in Uacilla and Uac Nikkola; Uac, n. pr. (cf. Dauæg as n.pr. of a genius, p. 483, n. 2); with secondary s Uaskergi, Uas Totur, possibly also the asseverations uastæn (p. 482, n. 2) and uasæn (p. 488, n. 2); Uacamongæ, Acamongæ (the form (U)ac/siamongæ is probably analogical to Uaciroxs below), name of a magical cup (above, p. 479, n. 1) also called simply Amongæ 'the Exposer', lit. 'the Spirit Exposer', cf. Uacilla = 'the Spirit Illa'; Digor uacæ roxs (which I take to be a compound with the compound vowel -æ-, cf. ændæræ-bon, fyd-æ-bon), quoted in the Dictionary, s.v. uac, from the Song of Acæmæz, line 126; 'light of a supernatural being = supernatural light'; Uacyruxs, Acyruxs, Dig. Uaciroxs, n.pr., 'having the light of a supernatural being'; Digor uacaxæssæn (not in Dict.: æ duuæ uacaxæssæn congebæl 'on his two powerful arms', Παμαμημικα II, 49³⁸) 'having the grasp of a supernatural being = of supernatural grasp'.

(B) Old Iranian wāčam, Ace. Sing.

- (a) 'Word': uadzæn (Dative) 'proverb' in the expression quoted above, p. 479, from Abaev; uadzymys 'sharp-witted; poetry', lit. 'inventor, invention, of words', cf. BSOAS XIV, 485; uadzæftauæ 'conjuration, curse' may be interpreted as 'imposition' either 'of the word' or 'of the spirit', with a pejorative connotation which presumably is absent in the n.pr. Uadzæftauæ.
- (b) Spirit: the animating principle, as opposed to body or matter (no more than this meaning need be attributed to Parth. w'c('fryd) referred to above, p.

479): uadzyg, Digor $\alpha uadzug$, 'fainted, in a swoon', lit. 'without spirit'²⁷; uadzavard, $uadz\alpha v\alpha rd$ 'stupefied', lit. 'whose spirit is laid low'; possibly $uadz\alpha ftau\alpha$, see (a), conceivably also the asseveration $uadz\alpha n^{28}$.

Two further words have been tentatively connected with *uac*, no doubt wrongly. In *Oc.* Эт. I, 119, 161, Miller compared the name *Uasxo*, and his Dictionary has a reference to *uadz*, *uas*, under the entry *uaz*. *Uasxo* was the name of a sanctuary at Kani. The name of the god to whom the sanctuary was dedicated appears in the formula *Uasxo dæ uazæg* 'U. is (*or* may U. be) your guest'. Miller analysed the name as *Uas-xo*, but who, or what, is *xo*? In the Kabard epos oaths are sworn by *Uasxo-kan*, and in one of the Kabard Nart tales (*Hapmы*, *Kaбapðuhckuu эпос*, Moscow, 1951, p. 334) the god *Uašxo* is himself the curser. One might therefore suppose that the name is connected with the Oss. noun *uasxæ* 'oath'. However, M. E. Talpa, *Kaбapðuhckuu фольклор*, Moscow-Leningrad, 1936, p. 638, offered a Kabard etymology of *Uasxo*: *uafa* 'sky' + šxo 'blue'. As to *uaz*, apart from the difficulty of explaining the *z*, the meaning 'prayer, admonition' which the Dictionary assigns to it, scarcely suits the one context in which it is quoted. *Памятники* II, 116²⁹; there, a being who first appears as a bear, later turns out to be a religious man: *ye ba læg uæxæn adtæy æma medavaræy ændæmæ uaz lævardta* 'he was such a man that he gave *uaz* from inside the room towards the outside'. At a guess one might say that *uaz dædtun* here means 'to radiate light'.

²⁷ The loss of the privative particle is known to my Digor friend also in *donug* (Dictionary only ædonug, idonug, beside Iron doini) 'thirsty' (lit. 'without water'), and xormag (Dictionary only æxxormag) 'hungry' (lit. 'without food'). Initial æ is also lost in cægæy 'in truth', Памятники, II, 92³⁵ < æcægæy.

²⁸ On p. 1250 the Dictionary has the following enigmatic entry: uadzæn (=uasæn; uasxæn, somiæn) adv. 'not for nothing, if only (< I swear?)'. Unfortunately no examples are given. Uasxæn and somiæn ought to mean 'for (= upon?) oath'. Hence uasæn and uadzæn are conceivably asseverations in the singular, both inaccurately abstracted from the plural uastæn 'by the Spirits' (on which v. above, p. 482, n. 2): uasæn with analogical s instead of expected c (cf. uas, 'sermon' (A) (a) above), uadzæn 'by the (=my) spirit' with a shift to the other connotation of the word 'spirit'.